

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152





UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Ti Amark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

U.S. GPO: 1996-404-498/4051

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	08/879,46	9 06/20/1	97 MURGITA	R	06727/006001 EXAMBEA
	176 FEDER	ELBING, LI AL STREET 02110-2214		DATE MAIL	32
	This is a communication f		charge of your application. MARKS		04/14/00
			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
<u></u>	Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on	-1-1-0		
\square	This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).					
Disp	oosition of Claims				
	Claim(s)	9 + 21- 1-18 + 1 + 21		_is/are withd	ending in the application. rawn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejectedis/are objected to. n or election requirement.
Application Papers					
	See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration	correction, filed or ected to by the Ex	aminer.	oy the Examin _is	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been				
	received.	ation No. (Series C	ode/Serial Number)tion from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	·	
. *(Certified copies not reco	eived:			·
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
	Notice of Reference Ci Information Disclosure Interview Summary, PT	Statement(s), PTC)-1449, Paper No(s)		

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

2

Serial Number: 08/879,469

Art Unit: 1646

Response to Amendment

- 1. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1646.
- 2. The request filed on 3/31/99 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/868,355 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. The Examiner notes that no preliminary amendment has been filed with the CPA request. An action on the CPA follows.
- 3. In response to Applicants' Amendment B (Paper No. 12), filed on 10/7/96, claim 20 has been canceled. Applicant's Amendment C (Paper No. 19), filed 6/20/97, has also been entered. Claims 19 and 21 have been amended. Claims 1-19 and 21-24 are pending in the instant Application.
- 4. Claims 1-18 and 22-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Any objections or rejections made in a previous Office Action that are not herein reinstated have been withdrawn
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Serial Number: 08/879,469 3

Art Unit: 1646

7. A provisional election was made by voice-mail message by Karen F. Lech on 2/9/96 without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 19-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in responding to this Office action.

8. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for reasons of record and the following. Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/96 have been fully considered but they are only partly persuasive. The Examiner withdraws his first ground of rejection concerning the applicability of the <u>in vitro</u> results for <u>in vivo</u> uses. However, the enablement rejection is maintained for failure to teach the skilled artisan how to use the claimed method of administration of human α -fetoprotein to a mammal in order to stimulate bone marrow cell proliferation because there is no adequate written description, guidance, or examples by which the harmful effects of the administration can be avoided without undue experimentation for reasons of record. As stated in a previous Office Action (Paper No. 7, filed 4/4/96, page 5), the dosage guidance disclosed is over a range of one hundred billion. This range is too large and does not enable the skilled artisan to practice the invention with a reasonable expectation of success because of the suppressive effects of human α -fetoprotein on cells of the immune system (see Paper No. 7, pages 6-7). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant that a working example of administration to a mammal has been provided in the specification (see Paper No. 11, page 7), therefore the instant situation cannot be distinguished in this manner from In re Colianni,

Serial Number: 08/879,469

Art Unit: 1646

195 USPQ 150, CAFC. Furthermore, when administered to a mammal, the human α -fetoprotein will encounter not only immature bone marrow cells, but also the fully-differentiated mature T-cells in the bloodstream, so Applicant's argument that an "apples and oranges" comparison has been made is not persuasive because both cell types will be encountered, and the skilled artisan would have no means of predicting how to administer, how much to administer, and how long to administer human α -fetoprotein to a mammal to produce the desired results without incurring the known deleterious effects without engaging in undue experimentation.

- 9. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoskin et al. for reasons of record and the following. Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/96 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The instant specification does not disclose whether glycosylation plays any role in the biological activity of human α-fetoprotein (AFP). The prior art is equivocal: "...the role of sialic acid in the immunological activity of AFP remains contentious. It is equally possible that the immunoregulatory function of AFP is determined by primary structure rather than by posttranslational modification" (Hoskin et al., 1985, page 164). Based upon these factors, it would appear that glycosylation of AFP is immaterial to its function, and thus AFP taught in the prior art is functionally equivalent to that of the instant specification, and so the instant methods recited in claims 19 and 21 are obvious over the prior art of record.
- 10. No claim is allowed.
- 11. This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/879,469. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the

4

Serial Number: 08/879,469

Art Unit: 1646

grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-6571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0830 to 1700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 308-4242, but Applicant should confirm this by phoning the Examiner before faxing.

Serial Number: 08/879,469

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196:

X,

Stephen Gucker

April 11, 2000

GARY L. KUNZ

GROUP 1200